"ANNEXURE 11"

IN THE HIGH COURT OF NAMIBIA (TO THE SUPREME COURT OF NAMIBIA)

| Case Title: | | Case No.: HC-MD-CRI-APP-CAL-2017/00009 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|-------------------|
| JOHANNES HANEB | 1sr APPELLANT | Neutral citation: | Haneb v S (HC-MD- |
| RICHARD USES | 2ND APPELLANT | CRI-APP-CAL-2017 | /00009) (2018] |
| versus | | NAHCMD | |
| THE STATE | | 125 (11 May 2018) | |
| | | | |
| | | Division of Court: | |
| | | High Court Main Div | vision |
| Tried before: SHIVUTE J et SIBOLEKA J | | Date of Hearing: 11 May 2018 | |
| | | Date of Ruling: 11 M | ay 2018 |
| | | | |
| Docult on marita (attach arder) | | | |

Result on merits (attach order):

THE ORDER:

- 1. The application for condonation for the late filing of the notice of appeal is granted in respect of both appellants.
- 2. The appeal against sentence in respect of each appellant succeeds.
- 3. The sentence of 20 years imposed by the Regional Court is set aside and this court is at liberty to sentence each appellant afresh.
- 4. Each appellant is sentenced to 8 years imprisonment back dated to 12 March 2010.

5 Fach annellant had already served 8 years and each should be released forthwith REASONS FOR DECISION (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE JUDGE):

- (a) Application for condonation is granted in respect of each appellant. Each appellant had prospects of success on prosecuting the appeal against sentence.
- (b) Each appellant was sentenced to 20 years imprisonment being the mandatory sentence at the time of sentencing. However, the mandatory sentence was ruled unconstitutional in Daniel v Attorney General and Others 2011 (1) NR 330 (HC) AT 355-356.

(c) Although the stock that was stolen is worth N\$ 1000, the appellants had already served 8 years of their sentence and this is the reason this court sentenced them afresh to 8 years, back dated to 12 March 2010. In any normal circumstancesthis court would not have sentenced any accused person to 8 years for stock theft worth N\$1000.

| Note to the parties: | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| A.M.Siboleka: (?; / Counsel: | | | | |
| Ms Esterhuizen(For the respondent) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



HC-MD-CRI-APP-CAL-2017/00009

IN THE HIGH COURT OF **NAMIBIA, MAIN** DIVISION,
HELD AT WINDHOEK
ON FRIDAY, THE 11th DAY OF MAY
2018 BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE

SHIVUTE et THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE SIBOLEKA

| In the matter betw | vee | n: |
|--------------------|-----|----|
|--------------------|-----|----|

JOHANNES HANES

1s t APPELLANT

RICHARD USEB

2nd APPELLANT

and

THE STATE

RESPONDENT

COURT ORDER

Having heard Mr. Kamwi for the first appellant and Mr. Brock erhoff for the second appellant, and Ms. Ester hui zen, on behalf of the respondent and having read the Application for HC-MD-CRI-APP-CAL-2017/00009and other documents filed of record:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The application for condonation for the late filing of the notice of appeal is granted in respect of both appellants.
- 2. The appeal against sentence in respect of each appellant succ eeds.
- 3. The sentence of 20 years imposed by the Regional Court is set aside and this court is at liberty to sentence each appellant afresh.
- 4. Each appellant is sentenced to 8 years imprisonment back dated to 12 March 2010.
- 5. Each appellant had already served 8 years and each should be released forthwith.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT



Charlet Mokomele

Registrar of the High Court Main Division Windhoek

TO:

TREVOR BROCKERHOFF

On behalf of 2n d Appellant

Mbudje & Brockerhoff Legal Practitioners

No. 9 Salk Street. Windhoek West

Windhoek

Khomas

Namibia

KALUNDU KAMWI

On behalf of 1st Appellant

Sibeya & Partners Legal Practitioners

M2 Floor

Channel Life Building Post Street Mall

Windhoek

Namibia

Namibia

AND TO:

KARIN ESTERHU IZEN

On behalf of Respondent

Government - Office of the Prosecutor-General

High Court Building Luderitz Street

Windhoek Namibia Namibia

AND TO: